Control of Chromatin Structure by Spt6: Different Consequences in Coding and Regulatory Regions
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Spt6 is a highly conserved factor required for normal transcription and chromatin structure. To gain new insights into the roles of Spt6, we measured nucleosome occupancy along Saccharomyces cerevisiae chromosome III in an spt6 mutant. We found that the level of nucleosomes is greatly reduced across some, but not all, coding regions in an spt6 mutant, with nucleosome loss preferentially occurring over highly transcribed genes. This result provides strong support for recent studies that have suggested that transcription at low levels does not displace nucleosomes, while transcription at high levels does, and adds the idea that Spt6 is required for restoration of nucleosomes at the highly transcribed genes. Unexpectedly, our studies have also suggested that the spt6 effects on nucleosome levels across coding regions do not cause the spt6 effects on mRNA levels, suggesting that the role of Spt6 across coding regions is separate from its role in transcriptional regulation. In the case of the CHA1 gene, regulation by Spt6 likely occurs by controlling the position of the +1 nucleosome. These results, along with previous studies, suggest that Spt6 regulates transcription by controlling chromatin structure over regulatory regions, and its effects on nucleosome levels over coding regions likely serve an independent function.

The regulation of transcription by chromatin dynamics is dependent upon distinct classes of factors that function by interacting with nucleosomes. One set of factors directly modifies histones, the protein components of nucleosomes, by either adding or removing covalent modifications, such as methyl or acetyl groups; these modifications can regulate transcription in a positive or negative fashion (12, 61). A second set of factors are comprised of chromatin-remodeling complexes, which control transcription by regulating the occupancy or positions of nucleosomes in an ATP-dependent fashion (16). A third set of factors are believed to act as histone chaperones. These factors function both in transcription initiation, serving to facilitate the removal or deposition of nucleosomes over regulatory regions, and in transcription elongation, to remove nucleosome barriers before transcribing RNA polymerase (RNAP) II or to redeposit nucleosomes in the wake of transcription (9, 20). The integration of the activities of these different classes of factors is critical in transcriptional regulation. Spt6, a putative histone chaperone and a member of the third class, is the subject of this paper.

Spt6 is a highly conserved protein that has been previously shown to control chromatin structure and transcription. Originally identified as an essential factor required for normal transcription in Saccharomyces cerevisiae (17, 54, 55), Spt6 has also been shown to play critical or essential roles in mammalian cells (73, 74), zebrafish (36, 39, 68), Drosophila (5, 23), nematodes (56), Schizosaccharomyces pombe (77), and Candida albicans (2). In addition to chromatin structure and transcription, Spt6 functions in recombination (51), mRNA surveillance and export (4, 22), and histone modifications (13, 15, 75).

Spt6 interacts with several proteins in order to carry out its roles in controlling chromatin structure and transcription. Studies in both S. cerevisiae and mammalian cells have shown that Spt6 interacts directly with histone H3 (10, 71) and that Spt6 can assemble nucleosomes in vitro (10), suggesting that direct Spt6 histone interactions control chromatin structure. In agreement with these studies, spt6 mutations alter chromatin structure in vivo and suppress the loss of the Swi/Snf chromatin-remodeling complex (10, 54, 55). Other studies have shown that Spt6 also controls chromatin structure at the level of histone modifications, as it is required for normal levels of H3 K36 di- and trimethylation (13, 15, 75). In addition, Spt6 has been shown to interact with RNAP II, and this interaction seems to occur predominantly with the elongating form of the polymerase (21, 73), suggesting a direct role in transcription elongation. Spt6 also forms a complex with another essential protein, Spn1/Iws1 (41, 47). This interaction is required for several steps in transcription, from initiation (78) to histone modifications, RNA processing, and mRNA export (73, 74). A role in mRNA processing is also consistent with the fact that Spt6 has been shown to interact with the nuclear exosome in Drosophila (4). Structural studies strongly support the idea that Spt6 contains multiple domains responsible for interactions with several classes of molecules, including RNA, DNA, nucleosomes, and RNAP II (18, 32). The multiple interactions of Spt6 with other proteins suggest that it may play several roles in controlling chromatin structure and transcription; however, the precise nature of these activities remains to be determined.
Genetic and biochemical studies have suggested that Spt6 controls both transcription initiation and elongation, possibly by a role as a histone chaperone, facilitating nucleosome assembly. For example, spt6 mutants have been shown to be defective for nucleosome reassembly over promoter regions during transcriptional repression (1, 30). In addition, spt6 mutants have been shown to have reduced histone levels over the coding regions of some genes and to have chromatin that is hypersensitive to digestion by micrococcal nuclease (34). These chromatin defects in coding regions have been suggested to cause the widespread cryptic transcription initiation from within coding regions that has been demonstrated in spt6 mutants (14, 34).

Other results are also consistent with a broad role for Spt6 in transcription elongation. Studies in both Drosophila melanogaster and S. cerevisiae have shown that Spt6 is localized to chromosomal regions actively transcribed by RNAP II (3, 33, 35, 37). Furthermore, Spt6 is required for the recruitment of other proteins to transcribed chromatin, including Spt2 (57) and Elf1 (60). In addition, studies have shown that Spt6 facilitates transcription elongation by RNAP II (5, 21). The finding that Spt6 facilitates elongation on a chromatin-free template in vitro (21) indicates that it has a role in transcription elongation beyond effects on nucleosome levels or positions. Taken together, these studies imply varied roles for Spt6 in transcriptional regulation.

Given the broad and critical roles of Spt6 in controlling chromatin structure and transcription, we set out to address the role of Spt6 in controlling nucleosome levels and transcription. First, we tested whether Spt6 is required for normal nucleosome levels by using high-resolution mapping of nucleosome occupancy across S. cerevisiae chromosome III, an expanse of 316.6 kb with over 180 open reading frames (ORFs). Our results show that the requirement for Spt6 is not ubiquitous; rather, in spt6 mutants, there are varying degrees of nucleosome loss across transcribed regions. Second, to determine whether the degree of nucleosome loss correlates with transcription levels, we performed genome-wide chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP)-chip analysis for both RNAP II and Spt6; these results show that Spt6 levels correlate with RNAP II levels genome-wide and that, in an spt6 mutant, nucleosomes are preferentially lost from highly transcribed coding regions. This result supports recent studies that suggest that a high level of transcription displaces nucleosomes, whereas a low level of transcription does not (19, 29, 31, 38, 40, 42, 44, 63, 66, 70; for reviews, see references 6, 43, and 69). Our results suggest that these different classes of events are revealed in an spt6 mutant, where restoration of nucleosomes is impaired. Surprisingly, loss of nucleosomes does not correlate with changes in steadystate transcript levels seen in spt6 mutants, nor does it appear to correlate with genes that have cryptic transcription. Finally, to understand the different roles of Spt6 with respect to controlling chromatin structure and mRNA levels, we focused on one gene, CHA1, that is repressed by Spt6. We show that Spt6 repression of CHA1 occurs at the level of initiation, as it is required for the transcriptional repression of CHA1 by remodeling the +1 nucleosome. Taken together, our data suggest that the effects of Spt6 on regulating chromatin structure over transcribed regions are independent of its effects on transcription, which likely involve Spt6 function at promoters.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

*Strains, media, and growth conditions.* All FY S. cerevisiae strains used (Table 1) are isogenic with a GAL2<sup>+</sup> derivative of S288C (72). The strains were constructed using standard genetics methods (7). All oligonucleotides used for PCR are listed in Table S1 at http://genepath.med.harvard.edu/~winston/supplemental.htm. The Flag-spt6-1004 allele has been described previously (33). The Flag-SP66 allele was constructed by integrating the EcoNI-digested plasmid pCK40 (a derivative of pRS306 containing amino-terminally tagged SPT6) at the SP66 genomic locus, resulting in the integration of the auxotrophic marker URA3 flanked by two copies of SPT6. To select for homologous recombinants that have looped out the URA3 marker and contain the Flag tag, Ura<sup>−</sup> transformants were selected by resistance to 5-fluoroorotic acid (5-FOA). The transformants were further verified by Western blotting for the Flag epitope. The SPT6-HA allele was created by inserting three copies of the hemagglutinin (HA) epitope tag (amplified from vector pFA6 and containing a KanMX<sup>+</sup> selection marker) at the C-terminal end of the SP66 genomic locus (50). SPT6-HA was still fully functional based on testing of Sp<sup>+</sup> phenotypes. The cha4::KanMX<sup>+</sup> deletion mutation was constructed by integrative transformation using the amplified CHA4 locus replaced by a KanMX<sup>+</sup> marker from the yeast deletion set (25).

For liquid cultures, strains were grown in either YPD rich medium (1% yeast extract, 2% peptone, and 2% glucose) or synthetic dropout medium lacking serine (SC-serine) (62). Where indicated, the SC-serine medium was supplemented with either 0.1 mg/ml or 1 mg/ml serine. Cells were grown to approximately 10<sup>7</sup> cells/ml at 30°C and shifted to 37°C for 80 min to inactivate Spt6 function in the spt6-1004 temperature-sensitive strain. For the time course analysis of CHA1 repression, we observed that cells grown in SC-serine supplemented with serine (amounts as indicated) and shifted to 37°C as described above. Following the temperature shift, cells were collected, the medium was discarded, and the cells were resuspended in fresh SC-serine at room temperature for the indicated time.

**Northern analysis.** Northern analysis was performed as previously described (7). Strains were grown in YPD or SC-serine medium and supplemented with serine where indicated. Northern hybridization analysis was performed with probes to the coding regions of CHA1, GLK1, PGK1, BUD3, and SNR190 amplified by PCR for random 32P labeling. The oligonucleotides used to synthesize probes are listed in Table S1 at http://genepath.med.harvard.edu/~winston/supplemental.htm.

**Chromatin immunoprecipitation.** ChIPs were performed as described previously with minor modifications (52). Briefly, cells were cross-linked in 1% formaldehyde for 30 min and broken by bead beating, and the chromatin extract was sheared to 200- to 500-bp fragments using a Bioruptor sonicator (Diagenode). Immunoprecipitations were done using 1 μl of anti-H3 antibody (ab1791; Abcam), 5 μl of anti-H2A antibody (generously provided by Jessica Downs, University of Sussex, England), 20 μl of anti-H4 antibody (2960; Cell Signaling Technology), 15 μl of anti-Flag antibody (M2; Sigma Aldrich). The antibodies were incubated with chromatin extracts overnight at 4°C and then coupled to 50 μl of protein G-Sepharose beads (GE Healthcare Life Sciences). The resulting precipitates were amplified by PCR using primers specific to the promoter regions of GLK1, GLK3, PGK1, and URA3. The primer sequences are listed in Table S1 at http://genepath.med.harvard.edu/~winston/supplemental.htm. The DNA samples were normalized against the myc-tagged Spt6 protein, the corresponding untagged control samples were included in all experiments (see Fig. 4A and 7E; also see Fig. S1 at http://genepath.med.harvard.edu/~winston/supplemental.htm). For RNA II and histone ChIPs, a “no-antibody” control was used instead. Each experiment was performed at least three times. The primer sequences are listed in Table S1 at http://genepath.med.harvard.edu/~winston/supplemental.htm.
to RNAP II binding sites was immunoprecipitated with anti-8WG16 antibody against Rpb1. The specificity of Rpb1 binding was controlled by a “no-antibody” precipitation from the same chromatin extracts. DNA prepared by ChIP as described above was blunt ended, ligated to unidirectional linkers, and amplified by ligation-mediated PCR as described previously (8). 5′-(3-Aminolallyl)-2′-deoxyuridine-5′-triphosphate was included in the PCR mixture. Labeling was performed post-PCR by coupling to mononucleotide Cy5 and Cy3 N-hydroxysuccinimide (NHS) esters. The Cy5 (experiment) and Cy3 (control) samples were mixed and hybridized on DNA microarrays containing melting temperature (Tm)-adapted oligonucleotides covering the nonrepetitive part of the S. cerevisiae genome. The microarrays (Agilent Technologies) have been described previously (26, 59, 63). They contain 44,290 probes consisting of 60-mer oligonucleotides that cover 85% of the S. cerevisiae genome, including both intergenic and coding regions and excluding highly repetitive regions, with an average probe density of 266 bp.

**Micrococcal nuclease (MNs) digestion.** Mononucleosomal DNA was prepared as described previously (76). Briefly, cells were grown to mid-log phase in 440 ml medium (YPD or SC-serine, as indicated), shifted to 37°C for 80 min, and cross-linked with 1% formaldehyde at room temperature for 30 min. The cell fraction from wild-type or strains (isolated as detailed above) was amplified using 17 different primer pairs to amplify overlapping 95- to 115-bp fragments spanning 600 bp covering the CHAI promoter and S′ coding region. The oligonucleotides used as primers are listed in Table S1 at http://genepath.med.harvard.edu/~winston/supplemental.htm. As controls, we also assayed a well-characterized nuclease-bound sequence in the GAL1 promoter, as well as an adjacent nucleosome-free region (11, 49). Relative protection of the template for each promoter and 5′ ORF was calculated as a ratio to the concentration of the nucleosome-bound template of GAL1.

**Microarray data accession number.** ChIP-chip data can be found at the GEO database (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/) under accession number GSE21787.

### RESULTS

**Loss of Spt6 results in nucleosome loss over a subset of coding regions.** Given the evidence that Spt6 plays a critical role in transcription and in maintaining a proper chromatin environment, we set out to determine the changes that occur in

### TABLE 1. *S. cerevisiae* strains used in this study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain</th>
<th>Genotype</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FY85</td>
<td>MATα hisΔ200 ura3-52 leu2Δ1 his1Δ1004 flag-spt6-1004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY2425</td>
<td>MATα hisΔ200 ura3-52 leu2Δ1 his1Δ1004 flag-spt6-1004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY1321</td>
<td>MATα ura3Δ0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY2789</td>
<td>MATα ura3Δ0 SPT6-HA::KanMX4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY2789</td>
<td>MATα ura3Δ0 Flag-SPT6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY2790</td>
<td>MATα ura3Δ0 cha4Δ::KanMX4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY2791</td>
<td>MATα ura3Δ0 cha4Δ::KanMX4 Flag-SPT6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY2792</td>
<td>MATα hisΔ200 ura3-52 leu2Δ1 his1Δ1004 cha4Δ::KanMX4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY2793</td>
<td>MATα hisΔ200 ura3-52 leu2Δ1 his1Δ1004 flag-spt6-1004 cha4Δ::KanMX4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### TABLE 2. Nucleosome loss is greatest in highly transcribed genes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Nucleosome level in spt6-1004</th>
<th>Spt6 level</th>
<th>RNAII level</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PGK1</td>
<td>−1.03</td>
<td>2.19</td>
<td>4.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHAI</td>
<td>−1.00</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>2.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMPI</td>
<td>−0.89</td>
<td>1.67</td>
<td>3.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PBD1</td>
<td>−0.79</td>
<td>1.23</td>
<td>2.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RPS14A</td>
<td>−0.78</td>
<td>1.81</td>
<td>3.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GLK1</td>
<td>−1.36</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>1.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VAC17</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BUD3</td>
<td>−0.02</td>
<td>−0.22</td>
<td>−1.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DCC1</td>
<td>−0.14</td>
<td>−0.34</td>
<td>−0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDC39</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>−0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNT1</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>−0.65</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* The RNAII occupancy (log2, IP/no Ab) and Spt6 occupancy (log2, HA/no tag) determined by our ChIP-chip assay are compared to the nucleosome occupancy signals (log2, spt6-1004/wild type) across coding regions for representative genes on chromosome III. The genes in boldface in the top half of the table are highly transcribed; those in the bottom half are transcribed at basal levels.

### TABLE 3. Genes on chromosome III with cryptic transcripts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Nucleosome level in spt6-1004</th>
<th>Spt6 level</th>
<th>RNAII level</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LDB16</td>
<td>−0.24</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>1.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BUD3</td>
<td>−0.02</td>
<td>−0.22</td>
<td>−1.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DCC1</td>
<td>−0.14</td>
<td>−0.34</td>
<td>−0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AGP1</td>
<td>−0.48</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATG22</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>−0.13</td>
<td>−0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YCL042W</td>
<td>−0.71</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>1.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRC1</td>
<td>−0.01</td>
<td>−0.21</td>
<td>−1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POL4</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>−0.05</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YCR016W</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>−0.02</td>
<td>−0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CWH43</td>
<td>−0.08</td>
<td>−0.10</td>
<td>−0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAK32</td>
<td>−0.05</td>
<td>−0.17</td>
<td>−0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SYP1</td>
<td>−0.20</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RBK1</td>
<td>−0.29</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RTR12</td>
<td>−0.29</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RAD18</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>−0.09</td>
<td>−0.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSK2</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>−0.27</td>
<td>−0.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBB8</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>−0.36</td>
<td>−1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FIG2</td>
<td>−0.06</td>
<td>−0.16</td>
<td>−0.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDC39</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>3.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RDS1</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>−0.42</td>
<td>−1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AAD3</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>−0.54</td>
<td>−0.83</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* The RNAII occupancy (log2, IP/no Ab) and Spt6 occupancy (log2, HA/no tag) determined by our ChIP-chip assay are compared to the nucleosome occupancy signals (log2, spt6-1004/wild type) across coding regions for representative genes on chromosome III known to have short transcripts in the spt6-1004 mutant.
nucleosome occupancy in an *S. cerevisiae* spt6 mutant, using a previously described procedure (76) (see Materials and Methods). For these studies, we used *spt6-1004*, a previously characterized temperature-sensitive allele that has been shown to cause widespread changes in transcription and chromatin structure (14, 33, 34). Since *spt6-1004* causes reduced levels of Spt6 protein (33), the mutant phenotypes are likely caused, at least in part, by a general loss of Spt6 function. Briefly, mononucleosomal DNA was isolated from both wild-type and *spt6-1004* cells, each DNA sample was amplified and fluorescently labeled (one with Cy3 and the other with Cy5), and the two samples were competitively hybridized to a tiled oligonucleotide microarray of *S. cerevisiae* chromosome III. Our results show that in *spt6-1004* mutants there are degrees of change, mostly nucleosome loss, along chromosome III (Fig. 1A; see Table S2 in the supplemental material). Interestingly, the greatest degree of nucleosome loss occurs predominantly over a subset of coding regions, such as *CHA1* (Fig. 1B) and *GLK1* (Fig. 1C), while nucleosome occupancy in other coding regions, such as *VAC17* (Fig. 1B) and *SNT1* (Fig. 1D), is unaffected. There are also regions that have an increased nucleosome density, such as near *TEL3L*. As previous results have shown that the nucleosome density is relatively constant across chromosome III (76), the changes caused by *spt6-1004* do not appear to occur in regions of unusual nucleosome distribution.

To confirm our microarray results, we determined the levels of histones H3, H4, and H2A physically associated with a set of genes on chromosome III using ChIP. All histone ChIPs were normalized to the histone levels over the middle of the coding regions of *CDC39* and *SNT1* (not shown), which in our nucleosome microarrays were unchanged in the *spt6-1004* mutant compared to the wild type (see Table S2 in the supplemental material). Therefore, a value of 1 suggests that there are equal levels of histones present in the region of interest and the control region, whereas values lower than 1 represent reduced histone levels in the region of interest in the *spt6-1004* mutant. The histone ChIP results are consistent with our microarray data; in the *spt6-1004* mutant, histone levels were lower over the coding regions of *CHA1* and *GLK1* and were unaffected over the coding regions of *VAC17* and *BUD3* (Fig. 2). Histone levels were low in both wild-type and *spt6-1004* strains over the promoter regions of *CHA1* and *GLK1*, suggesting that even though there are extensive changes in the chromatin structure over coding regions, the promoters remain nucleosome free (Fig. 2A and data not shown) (45). Taken together, our microarray and histone ChIP results show that in an *spt6-1004* mutant, there are varying degrees of nucleosome loss over coding regions, suggesting that Spt6 activity is required for normal chromatin structure in a subset of genes.

**Spt6 colocalizes with RNA polymerase II at highly transcribed genes.** Previous experiments suggested that Spt6 is physically associated with transcribed regions and that its level of association corresponds to the level of transcription (3, 33, 35, 37). To test whether the degree of nucleosome loss in the *spt6-1004* mutant corresponds to the level of association of Spt6 with chromatin in wild-type cells, we measured the genome-wide association of Spt6 with chromatin by ChIP-chip. In addition, to test whether Spt6 levels correlate with transcrip-
tion rates genome-wide, we also measured the genome-wide association of RNAP II. Our results show that Spt6 is primarily localized over coding regions at a level that corresponds with the level of RNAP II (Fig. 3A). As expected, on a genome-wide scale, we found a strong correlation between Spt6 and RNAP II localization (Fig. 3B). These results agree with another recent study of Spt6 (52a).

To confirm our ChIP-chip results at specific loci, we measured the levels of Spt6 and RNAP II association at particular genes of interest using gene-specific ChIP. These results showed that Spt6 is localized primarily over the coding regions of highly transcribed genes (Fig. 4A) while RNAP II is found in both promoter and coding regions (Fig. 4B). In contrast, Spt6 fails to localize to the coding regions of genes transcribed at low levels, such as VAC17 and BUD3 (Fig. 4A and B). Taken together, our data suggest that Spt6 colocalizes with RNAP II over the open reading frames of highly transcribed genes.

Then, to determine whether nucleosome loss in the spt6-1004 mutant occurs primarily over highly transcribed genes, we compared the degree of association of RNAP II (and of Spt6) to the degree of nucleosome loss in the spt6-1004 mutant, examining all open reading frames of chromosome III (Fig. 4C). The data suggest that, in general, genes with high levels of RNAP II and Spt6 in wild-type cells are those with the greatest degree of nucleosome loss in the spt6-1004 mutant. Conversely, those with lower levels of RNAP II and Spt6 show little or no nucleosome loss (examples are shown in Table 2 and in Table S3 at http://genepath.med.harvard.edu/~winston/supplemental.htm). We note that there is not a strong statistical correlation between RNAP II/Spt6 levels and nucleosome loss ($r \approx 0.6$). We speculate that there may not be a strict correlation but rather a threshold level of transcription above which nucleosome loss occurs. This idea is supported by previous studies that suggest that the maintenance of chromatin structure following transcription occurs by mechanisms that differ by the degree of histone loss and exchange and that the level of histone exchange is gene specific rather than transcription rate specific (19, 24) (see Discussion). The simplest conclusion from these data is that, in general, Spt6 is required to maintain a normal level of nucleosomes over genes that are highly transcribed.

![FIG. 2. Histone levels are reduced from specific coding regions in the spt6-1004 mutant. Histone H3 (A), H2A (B), and H4 (C) occupancies were determined by ChIP analysis of chromatin extracts isolated from wild-type (WT) and spt6-1004 mutant strains. The values were normalized to histone levels in a control region (CDC39), which were set to 1. The DNA sequences for each primer pair used in the real-time analysis are listed in Table S1 at http://genepath.med.harvard.edu/~winston/supplemental.htm. Each bar represents the mean percentage immunoprecipitation value ± standard error of the mean (SEM) ($n = 3$ to 15).]

![FIG. 3. Genome-wide localization of Spt6 and RNAP II. DNA was labeled and hybridized to DNA microarrays as described in Materials and Methods. (A) For Spt6 analysis, genes were binned within four groups based on the level of RNAP II across their open reading frames. The average (Avg.) enrichment signal for Spt6 is shown for each group of genes. The data shown are averages of two independent experiments. (B) Scatter plot of the average enrichment ratio for Spt6 versus that of RNAP II on all ORFs. The average enrichment ratio is represented as the log$_2$ value of the Spt6- or RNAP II-specific IP signal versus background (no tag or no antibody, respectively) signal. The correlation coefficient was 0.83.]


Separable requirements for Spt6 for chromatin structure and for transcription. The results presented above show that the levels of association of Spt6 and RNAP II with chromatin strongly correlate genome-wide, suggesting that Spt6 levels are determined by the level of transcription. To test whether this correlation extends to the requirement for Spt6 for normal transcription, we compared our Spt6 ChIP-chip results to previously published microarray results for an spt6-1004 mutant (14). Surprisingly, this comparison showed that there is poor correlation between Spt6 localization and changes in transcript levels in the spt6-1004 mutant (Fig. 5A). Furthermore, this lack of correlation extends to both genes with increased and decreased mRNA levels in the spt6-1004 mutant. These results suggest that changes in nucleosome occupancy over the coding regions in the spt6-1004 mutant are not the cause of the extensive transcriptional alterations that occur.

To examine this issue by a second method, we measured the transcript levels of CHA1, PGK1, and GLK1, three genes that have significant nucleosome loss in an spt6-1004 mutant. Our results (Fig. 5B) show that, under growth conditions identical
to those that show nucleosome loss (growth in YPD and a shift to 37°C), the mRNA levels for two of the genes, CHA1 and PGK1, were unchanged in an spt6-1004 mutant compared to the wild type, while GLK1 had elevated mRNA levels (compare Fig. 5B to Table 2). (We show below that CHA1 transcription is regulated by Spt6 under different growth conditions.) Thus, while Spt6 is strongly required for normal chromatin structure over many open reading frames, this activity is apparently independent of the role played by Spt6 in the control of transcription at many genes.

Previous studies have shown that Spt6 also plays a prominent role in the repression of transcription from cryptic promoters within coding regions (14, 34). On chromosome III, which is one of the smallest chromosomes in S. cerevisiae, cryptic transcription was detected at 21 genes in an spt6-1004 mutant (14). For those 21 genes, we examined the effect of spt6-1004 on nucleosome levels and found that most of them did not show significant nucleosome loss (Table 3). Consistent with this finding, our ChIP-chip results showed that most of these genes had low levels of RNAPII and Spt6 associated. These findings are not surprising, as our method for detection of cryptic initiation was biased toward genes transcribed at low levels (14, 46). Nevertheless, the results show that cryptic initiation occurs at genes without significant nucleosome loss in an spt6-1004 mutant.

Spt6 is required for repression of CHA1 under low-serine conditions by remodeling the +1 nucleosome. To further understand the role of Spt6 in the regulation of chromatin structure and how it relates to its role in transcriptional regulation, we decided to focus on CHA1, a gene at which Spt6 exerts strong effects on nucleosome levels across its coding region and, as described below, on transcriptional regulation under particular growth conditions. CHA1 encodes a catabolic L-serine/L-threonine deaminase, and its transcription responds to serine and threonine levels: CHA1 transcription is tightly repressed when serine or threonine is absent, and its transcription is strongly induced under high-serine or -threonine conditions (58). An spt6-1004 mutation has no detectable effect on the induced levels of CHA1 mRNA when cells are grown in rich medium (Fig. 5), even though the spt6-1004 mutation causes significant nucleosome loss across the CHA1 coding region. However, in the course of our studies, we discovered that CHA1 repression is defective in an spt6-1004 mutant when cells are grown in the absence of serine (examples are shown in Fig. 6A and 7D). Thus, Spt6 is required for repression of CHA1 transcription.

Since the induction of CHA1 in rich medium is dependent upon the serine-responsive activator Cha4, which is constitutively bound to the CHA1 promoter (28, 64), we tested whether the aberrant transcription of CHA1 in an spt6-1004 mutant under normally repressing conditions requires Cha4. To do this, we compared CHA1 mRNA levels in spt6-1004 and spt6-1004 cha4Δ strains and found that Cha4 is indeed required for the aberrant CHA1 transcription in an spt6-1004 mutant (Fig. 6A). To examine transcription by another method, we also did RNAPII ChIP at CHA1 and found that the results agreed with the Northern analysis (Fig. 6B). These results show that Spt6 is required for the repression of CHA1 transcription initiation at low serine levels and that CHA1 transcription in the spt6-1004 mutant likely occurs using the same mechanism during normal CHA1 induction.

To test whether Spt6 represses CHA1 transcription initiation by controlling chromatin structure over the CHA1 5′ regulatory region, we mapped the positions of nucleosomes over this region at high resolution in both wild-type and spt6-1004 strains. To do this, we performed nucleosome scanning (67) as described in Materials and Methods. Briefly, we purified micrococcal nuclease-protected mononucleosomal DNA from wild-type and spt6-1004 cells grown in the presence or absence of serine. We then used this DNA as a template in quantitative PCRs using 17 different primer pairs to amplify overlapping 95- to 115-bp fragments spanning 600 bp covering the CHA1 promoter and 5′ coding region. Previous studies have shown that, under repressive conditions (in the absence of serine), the CHA1 promoter contains a well-positioned nucleosome that occludes the TATA box and transcription start site; upon activation by the addition of serine, this nucleosome undergoes a Cha4-dependent displacement (53). When we examined CHA1 under repressing conditions by nucleosome scanning, similar to these previous results, we detected a well-positioned nucleosome over the TATA box in wild-type cells (Fig. 7A). In the
spt6-1004 mutant, however, this nucleosome is shifted to a different position, approximately 100 bp 3' of its position in the wild type. This change would expose the TATA box to a more accessible site on the nucleosome, explaining the partial derepression of CHAI observed in spt6-1004 mutants (Fig. 6A and 7D). We also examined CHAI by nucleosome scanning under activating conditions and showed that, in wild-type cells, the promoter region is nucleosome free while there are nucleosomes present over the 5' coding region (Fig. 7B). In the spt6-1004 mutant, the CHAI locus was completely devoid of nucleosomes, in agreement with our ChIP results (compare Fig. 7B and 2A to C).

To confirm the nucleosome-scanning results, we performed ChIP analysis of histone H3 at the CHAI promoter and coding
regions in wild-type and spt6-1004 cells under both repressing and inducing conditions. Consistent with the nucleosome-scanning data, under repressive conditions, histone H3 is present over the TATA region in both the wild type and spt6-1004 mutant, coinciding with the presence of the +1 nucleosome in this region in a repressive state (Fig. 7C). In addition, we see H3 present over the CHAI coding region in both wild-type and spt6-1004 strains, even though CHAI is transcribed in the spt6-1004 mutant. When CHAI is induced, H3 levels are reduced over both the CHAI promoter region and the coding region in the spt6-1004 mutant compared to wild-type cells, similar to what was previously described (compare Fig. 7C and 2A). Taken together, our data suggest that under repressing conditions, Spt6 is required to position a nucleosome over the CHAI TATA, suggesting that it inhibits TATA-binding protein (TBP) binding to its site and that the effect of Spt6 on nucleosome levels over the coding region has little if anything to do with CHAI regulation.

To test whether Spt6 acts directly on the repositioning of the CHAI +1 nucleosome, we assayed for Spt6 by ChIP during a shift of wild-type cells from activating (serine-rich) to repressing (serine-free) conditions. During this time course, CHAI mRNA levels are greatly reduced in wild-type cells by 5 min after the removal of serine from the medium; in contrast, CHAI mRNA levels are maintained at a significant level in the spt6-1004 mutant (Fig. 7D; ChIP signals for no-tag controls are shown in Fig. S1 at http://genepath.med.harvard.edu/~winston/supplemental.htm). By ChIP, we were unable to detect Spt6 over the CHAI promoter region under activating conditions or during repression (Fig. 7E). As expected, Spt6 is detectable over the CHAI open reading frame when cells are grown in rich medium, and this level decreases during repression, correlating with CHAI mRNA levels (Fig. 7E). These results suggest that the role of Spt6 in repositioning the nucleosomes over the CHAI regulatory region is not the result of stable association of Spt6 with the region.

**DISCUSSION**

In this study, we have investigated the roles of Spt6 in chromatin structure and transcription in S. cerevisiae, leading to new insights into the roles of Spt6 in vivo. Our results show that in an spt6 mutant, there is a significant loss of nucleosomes over some, but not all, coding regions on chromosome III and that this nucleosome loss occurs primarily over genes that, in wild-type cells, are highly transcribed and have high levels of Spt6. Our results are consistent with earlier studies that showed reduced histone levels across the coding regions of FLO8 and HSP104 in an spt6 mutant (30, 34). As previous studies have shown that there are many changes in mRNAs in an spt6 mutant (14), we compared the set of genes with high levels of Spt6 in the wild type to those with transcriptional changes in an spt6 mutant and found, unexpectedly, that there was a poor correlation. This finding suggests that nucleosome loss over coding regions is not the primary cause of transcriptional changes in an spt6 mutant. Finally, at one gene, CHAI, we show that Spt6 confers transcriptional repression by positioning the +1 nucleosome over the CHAI TATA element. These results suggest that Spt6 plays distinct roles over coding and regulatory regions and that these changes have different consequences for transcription. An extension of our results is the suggestion that nucleosome levels over coding regions may not play a prominent role in determining mRNA levels.

The effects of an spt6 mutation on nucleosome levels across coding regions are consistent with previous studies that suggested two different mechanisms for chromatin remodeling and reassembly during RNAP II transcription. These studies showed that genes with a high level of transcription have dynamic chromatin, with a significant loss, a high level of exchange, and rapid reassembly of all four core histones over transcribed regions; in contrast, for genes with more modest levels of transcription, chromatin is less dynamic, with a lower level of histone loss and exchange, particularly for histones H3 and H4 (19, 29, 31, 38, 40, 42, 44, 63, 66, 70; for reviews, see references 6, 43, and 69). Our results, taken together with these previous studies, suggest a model in which Spt6 is required for reassembly of chromatin after the passage of RNAP II over highly transcribed genes. Similar models for Spt6 have been proposed previously (23, 27, 34). By this model, nucleosomes are lost over the subset of genes that have a high level of transcription elongation, and in an spt6 mutant, nucleosomes cannot be reassembled after the passage of RNAP II. For those genes that are normally transcribed at a lower level, little or no detectable nucleosome loss occurs, bypassing any requirement for Spt6 for nucleosome reassembly. Thus, our analysis of an spt6 mutant has provided strong support in vivo for two classes of genes with respect to nucleosome loss during transcription.

An unexpected result of our studies is the finding that the reduced nucleosome levels across coding regions in an spt6 mutant do not cause transcriptional changes. This conclusion applies to two types of changes that occur in spt6 mutants: altered levels of full-length mRNAs and the activation of cryptic transcription within coding regions (14). However, there is previous evidence that Spt6 is required for normal transcription elongation, both in vitro and in vivo (5, 21). In addition, Spt6 has been shown to interact with a number of factors during transcription elongation, including RNAP II, Spnl/Iws1, Spt5, Spt2, Elf1, and TFIIS (21, 27, 41, 47, 57, 60, 73, 78). Given these results and the significant effect of an spt6 mutation on chromatin structure across highly transcribed genes, it seems reasonable to conclude that Spt6 is required for some aspects of transcription elongation, although they may be too subtle to detect by microarray analysis.

Several results suggest that the major role for Spt6 in transcriptional regulation occurs during initiation. Previous studies have analyzed in depth the role of Spt6 in the regulation of transcription initiation of two genes, SUC2 and PHO5, both of which have nucleosomes over their promoter regions (1, 10). For both genes, reassembly of these nucleosomes upon a shift to repressing conditions is impaired in an spt6 mutant, resulting in defects in transcriptional repression (1). Our analysis of CHAI has revealed another mechanism by which Spt6 can control initiation. In contrast to SUC2 and PHO5, which have nucleosomes over their regulatory regions, CHAI has a more typical nucleosome-free region over its regulatory sites, flanked by a +1 nucleosome over the TATA element (45, 53, 76). Our results have shown that the position of the +1 nucleosome is controlled by Spt6, as it is positioned over the TATA in wild-
type cells and has an altered position in the sp6 mutant. Previous results have shown that histone H3 also controls CHAI chromatin structure over this region (64). As Sp6 has been shown to directly interact with H3 (10), this result suggests that Sp6 may directly interact with the +1 nucleosome to control its position. However, since ChIP has not detected Sp6 at the CHAI promoter under repressing growth conditions, it is also possible that Sp6 functions indirectly to position the +1 nucleosome. Additional studies will be necessary to elucidate the precise mechanism by which Sp6 regulates the position of the +1 nucleosome at CHAI and whether this aspect of Sp6 function occurs at other promoters.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Audrey Forest for help with the ChIP-chip experiments and Jessica Downs and Brad Cairns for providing antibodies. We are grateful to Randy Morse for many helpful discussions and for sharing plasmids and oligonucleotide sequences. We thank Christine Kiely for critical reading of the manuscript.

This work was supported by NIH grant GM032967 to F.W., NIH grant GM079205 to O.J.R., and CIHR grant 82891 to F.R. We thank Audrey Forest for help with the ChIP-chip experiments and Jessica Downs and Brad Cairns for providing antibodies. We are grateful to Randy Morse for many helpful discussions and for sharing plasmids and oligonucleotide sequences. We thank Christine Kiely for critical reading of the manuscript.

REFERENCES

41. Longtime, M. S., et al. 1998. Additional modules for versatile and economical...
PCR-based gene deletion and modification in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. 
Yeast 14:853–961.


